

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/665,329		09/18/2003	Thomas Richard Tepe	A01446	6250
21898	7590	09/15/2005		EXAMINER	
ROHM AN		S COMPANY		RONESI, V	ICKEY M
		E MALL WEST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADEL	PHILADELPHIA, PA 19106-2399		1714		

DATE MAILED: 09/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/665,329	TEPE, THOMAS RICHARD	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Vickey Ronesi	1714	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 02 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even If timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: <u>see attached</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling
the non-allowable claim(s).
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8 and 11-18</u> .
Claim(s) vithdrawn from consideration:
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. Other:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

le le

Art Unit: 1714

Attachment to Advisory Action

Applicants' amendment filed 9/2/2005 has been considered however, the amendment has not been entered given that it raises other new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

With respect to other new issues, claim 1 has been amended to recite that the copolymer contains 40-75 wt % C₂-C₄ alkyl (meth)acrylate residue. It is the examiner's position that this is a new issue for two reasons. First, the limitation of 40-75 wt % was before presented with C₂-C₃ alkyl (meth)acrylate residues (and not C₄ alkyl (meth)acrylate residues). Second, the limitation of 40-75 wt % was before presented with a copolymer that included 5-15 wt % lipophilically modified (meth)acrylate residues. Neither of these limitations is also presented in claim 1. Thus, a claim with a recitation of 40-75 wt % C₂-C₄ alkyl (meth)acrylate residue without the aforementioned limitations is a new issues and therefore would require further consideration and/or search.

Since amendments are not entered in part, the declaration filed 9/2/2005 signed by

Fanwen Zeng has not been entered. For applicant's convenience, should the first part of the
amendment (i.e., the amendment to the claims) have been entered, the declaration would also
have been entered. Even if so, the declaration is considered insufficient to overcome the
outstanding 35 USC 112(1), written description rejection since, according to the examiner's
calculations as shown in the Tables below, the amounts of comonomer in grams and the amounts
in weight % in the declaration are incongruous.

Art Unit: 1714

Sample ID 38100

	Data from Declaration	Data from Declaration filed 9/2/2005	
	Amount (g)	Amount (wt %)	Amount (wt %)
EA	300.0	60.0	59.0
Lipo 1	71.4	10.0	14.0
MAA	35.7	10.0	7.0
AA	100.0	20.0	19.7
DAP	1.00	0.20	0.20
n-DDM	0.50	0.10	0.10

Sample ID 3896

	Data from Declaration	Data from Declaration filed 9/2/2005		
	Amount (g)	Amount (wt %)	Amount (wt %)	
EA	300.0	60.0	59.1	
Lipo l	71.4	10.0	14.1	
MAA	35.7	10.0	7.0	
AA	100.0	20.0	19.7	
DAP	0.50	0.1	0.1	

Sample ID 3824

	Data from Declaration	Data from Declaration filed 9/2/2005	
	Amount (g)	Amount (wt %)	Amount (wt %)
EA	297.5	42.0	40.9
Lipo 1	182.1	18.0	25.0
MAA	246.9	40.0	33.9
DAP	1.42	0.20	0.20
n-DDM	0.00	0.00	0.00

In light of the above, it is clear that applicant's declaration would have been insufficient to overcome the outstanding 35 USC 112(1), written description rejection.

9/13/2005

vr

A

VASU JAGANNATHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700